

From the vulnerable plaque to the vulnerable patient: Current concepts in atherosclerosis

Cardiovascular disease affects a significant proportion of the population with global prevalence of 6,081 per 100,000 (Virani et al., 2020). Most core risk factors are well characterised and can be controlled with interventions, also meaning it is possible to identify most people at increased risk of acute events, defined as a 10-year risk of events of >20%. However, the real-world occurrence of events in this at-risk population is relatively low suggesting there is still much to be learnt or identified in spotting the vulnerable patient harbouring vulnerable atherosclerotic plaque at the earliest possible time.

The development of atherosclerotic plaque spans people's lifetime. The risk of developing cardiovascular disease (CVD) can be estimated as a roughly equal balance of genetically inherited susceptibility and exposure to environmental risk factors. Increasingly, the complexity of coronary artery disease (CAD), as the archetypal CVD, is underlined by the fact that a large number of genes or gene sets comprising up to 14% of genes in the genome can be linked to functionally influencing CAD development (Nikpay et al., 2020), through the association of genetic variants with CAD risk in large international cohorts. The majority of these variants play a small role (e.g. 2–5% effect size) (Howson et al., 2017) and so, which novel gene products to target therapeutically remains a question that must be answered with future studies.

Atherosclerosis is almost universally present and is a long-standing pathology. Fundamentally, plaque develops as a result of a maladaptive response of the artery wall and infiltrating immune cells to accumulation and modification of lipoprotein, such as low density lipoprotein (LDL) and lipoprotein(a) (Lp[a]) (Lichtman et al., 2013). Well-characterised risk factors, in addition to the circulating LDL level, are blood pressure, systemic inflammation, diabetes and obesity (Yusuf et al., 2004). Thus, the concept of vulnerable patients can be described as patients presenting with a combination of multiple risk factors and/or extremely high risk levels of one of these core risks (Naghavi et al., 2003). All these risk factors feed into the core interaction between LDL, vascular cells responding to the injurious accumulation and oxidative modification of LDL trapped in the sub-endothelial space, along with the invasion of myeloid cells and T cells that can either promote progression or resolution of plaque

inflammation (reviewed in Tabas & Lichtman, 2017). In the last 30 years, there has been a growing recognition that some specific characteristics of atherosclerotic plaques, which make the plaque more prone to trigger thrombotic events, are far more important clinically than absolute size or level of lumen occlusion (Finn et al., 2010). For example, the majority of events in the SCOT-HEART study were not triggered by the presence of occlusive disease (Investigators et al., 2018). Thus, the concept of vulnerable plaques is the focus of endeavours in the field. This review series focuses on advances critical to the identification, mechanistic understanding and treatment of vulnerable patients, and the vulnerable plaques that trigger adverse events. These articles were commissioned leading on from a British Atherosclerosis Society meeting entitled “From the vulnerable plaque to the vulnerable patient.”

Vulnerable plaques are not all the same. Thin-cap fibroatheroma, defined as a fibrous cap and evidence of macrophage infiltration, is prone to rupture. Another form of advanced plaque is rather prone to erosion. The increased use of statins has led to a shift from thin-cap fibroatheroma to plaque erosions over time (Libby & Pasterkamp, 2015). Originally defined by histology, defining vulnerable plaques in at-risk (vulnerable) patients is now possible using a raft of imaging techniques including PET, CT, MRI and intravascular ultrasound. Characterising a patient's actual arteries is a powerful tool essential in formally quantifying the burden of disease. Now multiple modalities are available in the research setting and increasingly in routine clinical practice. Two complementary reviews from Sriranjana et al. (Sriranjana et al., 2021) and Daghem and Newby (Daghem & Newby, 2021) review the use PET and CT in assessing vulnerable patients and defining vulnerable plaques.

PET utilises radiotracers binding molecular targets to gain a detailed characterisation of body tissues non-invasively. It has most extensively been used in oncology but with the development of instrumentation and availability of novel radiolabelled probes is now used in other areas including, prominently, in CVD patients. PET enables labelling of, for example, glucose incorporation and the presence of macrophages. In their review, Sriranjana et al. (2021) discuss the advances in PET usage for assessing vulnerable plaques and the results of trials using PET imaging. Fluorodeoxy-glucose has been used both in prognostic investigations and in interventional trials, particularly in those aiming to target inflammation directly. The range of

Abbreviations: CAD, coronary artery disease; CT, computed tomography; CVD, cardiovascular disease; VSMC, vascular smooth muscle cell.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2021 The Authors. *British Journal of Pharmacology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of British Pharmacological Society.

PET ligands used to investigate plaque characteristics is growing and the leading candidates, such as DOTATATE are discussed further in their review.

CT is an X-ray-based technique for fine detail discrimination of tissue morphology. Daghem and Newby (Daghem & Newby, 2021) review the importance of CT in cardiology. The detection of calcification and its use in quantifying plaque burden has been a major breakthrough achieved with non-invasive imaging. Despite limitations in this method as a means to quantify disease burden, there is yet to be a CT study adding better prognostic value. New innovations and trials are building on developing the prognostic potential of CT and its use in combination with PET.

Smooth muscle cells (SMCs) are central players in atherosclerosis. During the development of the disease, these cells transition from a quiescent layer of cells encased in elastin fibres maintaining vessel function to proliferative, matrix-secreting cells that contribute to both adverse and beneficial plaque processes (Basatemur et al., 2019). Harman and Jorgensen (Harman & Jorgensen, 2019) review the many important contributions of SMCs to plaque vulnerability, new techniques to understand and modify SMC functions and future modalities that could target them therapeutically. SMCs are now recognised to play a prominent and diverse set of roles in plaque formation, with differentiation into macrophage-like cells, osteoblasts and foam cells, and, in adventitial regions, lymphoid tissue organiser cells (Hu et al., 2015) are all possible. In terms of the critical process of plaque vulnerability, vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs) play a unique role in secretin extracellular matrix that maintains the integrity of the fibrous cap. However, in addition to macrophages, VSMCs also produced matrix-degrading cytokines that might trigger cap thinning. VSMCs are thought to be key to calcification, which also has important consequence for plaque vulnerability. Harman and Jorgensen (Harman & Jorgensen, 2019) also elucidate novel techniques now available to track in more detail the lineage of plaque SMC-derived cells and in identifying the heterogeneity of the culprit VSMCs that actually respond to the early injury responses triggering plaque formation.

Vulnerable patients may develop multiple plaques with vulnerable features compared to those without and so need more aggressive treatment at an earlier disease stage. LDL has proven an effective targeting modality. Statins reduce the risk of events in multiple large trials and, although may have some pleiotropic effects, the absolute reduction in LDL is strongly linked to the reduction of risk. However, side effects and lack of efficacy in some patients preclude the universal use of statins and highlight the need for competitive alternatives. The growing recognition of lipoprotein(a) as an additional risk factor has meant drugs that can also target lipoprotein(a) are required and under evaluation (Tsimikas, 2016). Familial hypercholesterolemia patients are among the most vulnerable, given the high lifetime burden of exposure to LDL (Ference et al., 2018). Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) regulates LDL receptors at the protein level and is now established as novel drug target to control atherogenic lipids. Nishikido and Ray (Nishikido & Ray, 2021) review the current state of the field of lipid lowering, how lipid targeting may

be key in treating the vulnerable patient, and the changes made possible with the discovery of PCSK9.

Integration of imaging information on precursor lesions with systemic and genetic risk factors can help to build a more refined and personalised risk model for the vulnerable patient and these innovative advances are coming online with increasing pace. However, studies suggest that event-triggering thrombosis following a plaque rupture may actually be relatively rare and that many rupture events are clinically silent and lead to establishment of a more stable “healed” plaque phenotype (Sriranjan et al., 2021). We need more work to pinpoint the truly vulnerable plaque.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Andrew P. Sage¹

Charalambos Antoniades²

¹Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

²Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

Correspondence

Andrew P. Sage, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Box 157 Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK.

Email: aps63@cam.ac.uk

REFERENCES

- Basatemur, G. L., Jorgensen, H. F., Clarke, M. C. H., Bennett, M. R., & Mallat, Z. (2019). Vascular smooth muscle cells in atherosclerosis. *Nature Reviews. Cardiology*, 16, 727–744. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-019-0227-9>
- Daghem, M., & Newby, D. E. (2021). Detecting unstable plaques in humans using cardiac CT: Can it guide treatments? *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 178, 2204–2217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14896>
- Ference, B. A., Graham, I., Tokgozoglul, L., & Catapano, A. L. (2018). Impact of lipids on cardiovascular health: JACC health promotion series. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 72, 1141–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.06.046>
- Finn, A. V., Nakano, M., Narula, J., Kolodgie, F. D., & Virmani, R. (2010). Concept of vulnerable/unstable plaque. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, 30, 1282–1292. <https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.108.179739>
- Harman, J. L., & Jorgensen, H. F. (2019). The role of smooth muscle cells in plaque stability: Therapeutic targeting potential. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 176, 3741–3753. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14779>
- Howson, J. M. M., Zhao, W., Barnes, D. R., Ho, W. K., Young, R., Paul, D. S., ... Sun, B. B. (2017). Fifteen new risk loci for coronary artery disease highlight arterial-wall-specific mechanisms. *Nature Genetics*, 49, 1113–1119. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3874>
- Hu, D., Mohanta, S. K., Yin, C., Peng, L., Ma, Z., Sriakulap, P., ... Habenicht, A. J. R. (2015). Artery tertiary lymphoid organs control aorta immunity and protect against atherosclerosis via vascular smooth muscle cell Lymphotoxin beta receptors. *Immunity*, 42, 1100–1115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.05.015>
- Investigators, S-H., Newby, D. E., Adamson, P. D., Berry, C., Boon, N. A., Dweck, M. R., ... Williams, M. C. (2018). Coronary CT angiography and

- 5-year risk of myocardial infarction. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 379, 924–933. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1805971>
- Libby, P., & Pasterkamp, G. (2015). Requiem for the ‘vulnerable plaque’. *European Heart Journal*, 36, 2984–2987. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehv349>
- Lichtman, A. H., Binder, C. J., Tsimikas, S., & Witztum, J. L. (2013). Adaptive immunity in atherogenesis: New insights and therapeutic approaches. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 123, 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI63108>
- Naghavi, M., Libby, P., Falk, E., Casscells, S. W., Litovsky, S., Rumberger, J., ... Willerson, J. T. (2003). From vulnerable plaque to vulnerable patient: A call for new definitions and risk assessment strategies: Part I. *Circulation*, 108, 1664–1672. <https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.0000087480.94275.97>
- Nikpay, M., Soubeyrand, S., Tahmasbi, R., & McPherson, R. (2020). Multi-omics screening identifies molecular biomarkers causally associated with the risk of coronary artery disease. *Circulation: Genomic and Precision Medicine*, 13, e002876. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGEN.119.002876>
- Nishikido, T., & Ray, K. K. (2021). Targeting the peptidase PCSK9 to reduce cardiovascular risk: Implications for basic science and upcoming challenges. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 178, 2168–2185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14851>
- Sriranjan, R. S., Tarkin, J. M., Evans, N. R., Le, E. P. V., Chowdhury, M. M., & Rudd, J. H. F. (2021). Atherosclerosis imaging using PET: Insights and applications. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, 178, 2186–2203. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.14868>
- Tabas, I., & Lichtman, A. H. (2017). Monocyte-macrophages and T cells in atherosclerosis. *Immunity*, 47, 621–634. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.09.008>
- Tsimikas, S. (2016). Lipoprotein(a): Novel target and emergence of novel therapies to lower cardiovascular disease risk. *Current Opinion in Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Obesity*, 23, 157–164. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MED.0000000000000237>
- Virani, S. S., Alonso, A., Benjamin, E. J., Bittencourt, M. S., Callaway, C. W., Carson, A. P., ... American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. (2020). Heart disease and stroke Statistics-2020 update: A report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 141, e139–e596. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000757>
- Yusuf, S., Hawken, S., Ounpuu, S., Dans, T., Avezum, A., Lanas, F., ... Lisheng, L. (2004). Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): Case-control study. *Lancet*, 364, 937–952. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(04\)17018-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17018-9)